ECE 498AL

Lectures 8:
Memory Hardware in G80

CUDA Device Memory Space: Review

- Each thread can:
  - R/W per-thread registers
  - R/W per-thread local memory
  - R/W per-block shared memory
  - R/W per-grid global memory
  - Read only per-grid constant memory
  - Read only per-grid texture memory
- The host can R/W global, constant, and texture memories



Parallel Memory Sharing



- Local Memory: per-thread (slow , in DRAM)
  - Private per thread
  - Auto variables, register spill
- Shared Memory: per-Block (fast)
  - Shared by threads of the same block
  - Inter-thread communication
- Global Memory: per-application
  - Shared by all threads
  - Inter-Grid communication

HW Overview



SM Memory Architecture



- Threads in a Block share data & results
  - In Memory and Shared Memory
  - Synchronize at barrier instruction
- Per-Block Shared Memory Allocation
  - Keeps data close to processor
  - Minimize trips to global Memory
  - SM Shared Memory dynamically allocated to Blocks, one of the limiting resources

SM Register File

- Register File (RF)
  - 32 KB
  - Provides 4 operands/clock
- TEX pipe can also read/write RF
  - 2 SMs share 1 TEX
- Load/Store pipe can also read/write RF



Programmer View of Register File

- There are 8192 registers in each SM in G80
  - This is an implementation decision, not part of CUDA
  - Registers are dynamically partitioned across all Blocks assigned to the SM
  - Once assigned to a Block, the register is NOT accessible by threads in other Blocks
  - Each thread in the same Block only access registers assigned to itself



Matrix Multiplication Example

- If each Block has 16X16 threads and each thread uses 10 registers, how many thread can run on each SM?
  - Each Block requires 10*256 = 2560 registers
  - 8192 = **3** * 2560 + change
  - So, three blocks can run on an SM as far as registers are concerned
- How about if each thread increases the use of registers by 1?
  - Each Block now requires 11*256 = 2816 registers
  - 8192 < 2816 *3
  - Only two Blocks can run on an SM, **1/3 reduction of parallelism**!!!

More on Dynamic Partitioning

- Dynamic partitioning gives more flexibility to compilers/programmers
  - One can run a smaller number of threads that require many registers each or a large number of threads that require few registers each
    - This allows for finer grain threading than traditional CPU threading models.
  - The compiler can tradeoff between instruction-level parallelism and thread level parallelism

ILP vs. TLP Example

- Assume that a kernel has 256-thread Blocks, 4 independent instructions for each global memory load in the thread program, and each thread uses 10 registers, global laods have 200 cycles
  - 3 Blocks can run on each SM
- If a Compiler can use one more register to change the dependence pattern so that 8 independent instructions exist for each global memory load
  - Only two can run on each SM
  - However, one only needs 200/(8*4) = 7 Warps to tolerate the memory latency
  - Two Blocks have 16 Warps. The performance can be actually higher!

Constants

- Immediate address constants
- Indexed address constants
- Constants stored in DRAM, and cached on chip
  - L1 per SM
- A constant value can be broadcast to all threads in a Warp
  - Extremely efficient way of accessing a value that is common for all threads in a Block!



Shared Memory

- Each SM has 16 KB of Shared Memory
  - 16 banks of 32bit words
- CUDA uses Shared Memory as shared storage visible to all threads in a thread block
  - read and write access
- Not used explicitly for pixel shader programs
  - we dislike pixels talking to each other ☺



Multiply Using Several Blocks

- One block computes one square sub-matrix P_{sub} of size BLOCK_SIZE
- One thread computes one element of P_{sub}
- Assume that the dimensions of M and N are multiples of BLOCK_SIZE and square shape



Matrix Multiplication Shared Memory Usage

- Each Block requires 2* $WIDTH^2$ * 4 bytes of shared memory storage
  - For WIDTH = 16, each BLOCK requires 2KB, up to 8 Blocks can fit into the Shared Memory of an SM
  - Since each SM can only take 768 threads, each SM can only take 3 Blocks of 256 threads each
  - Shared memory size is not a limitation for Matrix Multiplication of

Parallel Memory Architecture

- In a parallel machine, many threads access memory
  - Therefore, memory is divided into banks
  - Essential to achieve high bandwidth
- Each bank can service one address per cycle
  - A memory can service as many simultaneous accesses as it has banks
- Multiple simultaneous accesses to a bank result in a bank conflict
  - Conflicting accesses are serialized



Bank Addressing Examples

- No Bank Conflicts
  - Linear addressing stride == 1



- No Bank Conflicts
  - Random 1:1 Permutation



Bank Addressing Examples



How addresses map to banks on G80

- Each bank has a bandwidth of 32 bits per clock cycle
- Successive 32-bit words are assigned to successive banks
- G80 has 16 banks
  - So bank = address % 16
  - Same as the size of a half-warp
    - No bank conflicts between different half-warps, only within a single half-warp

Shared memory bank conflicts

- Shared memory is as fast as registers if there are no bank conflicts
- The fast case:
  - If all threads of a half-warp access different banks, there is no bank conflict
  - If all threads of a half-warp access the identical address, there is no bank conflict (broadcast)
- The slow case:
  - Bank Conflict: multiple threads in the same half-warp access the same bank
  - Must serialize the accesses
  - Cost = max # of simultaneous accesses to a single bank

Linear Addressing

- Given:

```
__shared__ float shared[256];
float foo =
  shared[baseIndex + s *
   threadIdx.x];
```



- This is only bank-conflict-free if s shares no common factors with the number of banks
  - 16 on G80, so s must be odd



Data types and bank conflicts

- This has no conflicts if type of `shared` is 32-bits:

```
foo = shared[baseIndex + threadIdx.x]
```



- But not if the data type is smaller
  - 4-way bank conflicts:

```
__shared__ char shared[];
foo = shared[baseIndex + threadIdx.x];
```



  - 2-way bank conflicts:

```
__shared__ short shared[];
foo = shared[baseIndex + threadIdx.x];
```


Structs and Bank Conflicts

- Struct assignments compile into as many memory accesses as there are struct members:

```
struct vector { float x, y, z; };
struct myType {
   float f;
   int c;
};
__shared__ struct vector vectors[64];
__shared__ struct myType myTypes[64];
```



- This has no bank conflicts for vector; struct size is 3 words
  - 3 accesses per thread, contiguous banks (no common factor with 16)

```
struct vector v = vectors[baseIndex + threadIdx.x];
```

- This has 2-way bank conflicts for my Type; (2 accesses per thread)

```
struct myType m = myTypes[baseIndex + threadIdx.x];
```

Common Array Bank Conflict Patterns 1D

- Each thread loads 2 elements into shared mem:
  - 2-way-interleaved loads result in 2-way bank conflicts:

```
int tid = threadIdx.x;
shared[2*tid] = global[2*tid];
shared[2*tid+1] = global[2*tid+1];
```



- This makes sense for traditional CPU threads, locality in cache line usage and reduced sharing traffice.
  - Not in shared memory usage where there is no cache line effects but banking effects

Vector Reduction with Bank Conflicts



A Better Array Access Pattern

- Each thread loads one element in every consecutive group of bockDim elements.

```
shared[tid] = global[tid];
shared[tid + blockDim.x] =
  global[tid + blockDim.x];
```



No Bank Conflicts



Common Bank Conflict Patterns (2D)

- Operating on 2D array of floats in shared memory
  - e.g. image processing
- Example: 16x16 block
  - Assume that each thread processes a row
  - So threads in a block access all element of a column simultaneously (example: row 1 in purple)
  - All 16 of these elements are mapped into the same bank
  - 16-way bank conflicts: rows all start at bank 0



Common Bank Conflict Patterns (2D)

- **Solution 1) pad the rows**
  - **Add one float to the end of each row**
- Solution 2) transpose before processing
  - Suffer bank conflicts during transpose
  - But possibly save them later





Memory Bank View:
Matrix indices with padding
Now all elements of the same column are in different banks.

Does Matrix Multiplication Incur Shared Memory Bank Conflicts?

All Warps in a Block access the same row of Ms – broadcast!





All Warps in a Block access neighboring elements in a row as they access walk through neighboring columns!

Load/Store (Memory read/write) Clustering/Batching

- Use LD to hide LD latency (non-dependent LD ops only)
  - Use same thread to help hide own latency
- Instead of:
  - LD 0 (long latency)
  - Dependent MATH 0
  - LD 1 (long latency)
  - Dependent MATH 1
- Do:
  - LD 0 (long latency)
  - LD 1 (long latency - hidden)
  - MATH 0
  - MATH 1
- Compiler handles this!
  - But, you must have enough non-dependent LDs and Math